

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

(WEIGHTED)

A. Legislative/Legal/Voter Mandated/Other Obligations (40 Points)

A project required by Federal or State law/regulation, an intergovernmental agreement, voter mandate, or municipal obligation.

B. Quantity of Citizens' Benefited or Public Support: (35 Points)

Justification could be 10,000 vehicles per day traveling a certain local street, 75% of respondents to Citizen Survey want more street maintenance.

C. City Facility Overcapacity/Outdated/Safety Concerns (30 Points)

A city facility that is over capacity 90% of the time. Also, facility is outdated, visible hazards, non-ADA compliant, safety concerns, etc.

D. Project included in City Plan or Policy: (25 Points)

An example is the project in the Water Master Plan or a City Council Target.

E. Economic impact on City: (20 Points)

This includes applicable capital costs, user fees, grants, O&M costs or savings, replacement costs, return on investment, public/private/economical development opportunities, energy costs, project fundability, etc.

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

(WEIGHTED)

F. Health or Safety: (15 Points)

An example of would be improvements at a certain intersection due to a high number of serious accidents or a West Nile prevention project

G. Environmental, Quality of Life, Social, Aesthetic impacts: (10 Points)

An example could be installing solar panels at the Nature Center for heat and educational purposes or 60% of Arvada's citizens are over age 65 and the City has no senior center.

H. Completion, Missing Link or Accumulated Funding Project: (5 Points)

An example would be the landscaping costs for an arterial street finished the previous year, a project that is the missing link or previously constructed projects or a project which is one-fifth of the funds owed to RTD in 5 years for FasTracks.